arXiv:1610.06047v3 [math.RT] 3 Nov 2016

FACTORIZATIONS OF GROUP DETERMINANT IN GROUP ALGEBRA FOR ANY ABELIAN SUBGROUP

NAOYA YAMAGUCHI

ABSTRACT. We give a further extension and generalization of Dedekind's theorem over those presented by Yamaguchi. In addition, we give a corollary on irreducible representations of finite groups and a conjugation of the group algebra of the groups which have an index-two abelian subgroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we give an extension and generalization of Dedekind's theorem over those presented in reference [10]. The generalization in turn leads to a corollary on irreducible representations of finite groups. In addition, if a finite group has an index-two abelian subgroup, we can define a conjugation of elements of the group algebra by using the further extension of Dedekind's theorem.

Let G be a finite group, $\widehat{G}$ a complete set of irreducible representations of G over $\mathbb{C}$, and $\Theta(G)$ the group determinant of G. $\Theta(G)$ is the determinant of a matrix whose elements are independent variables x_g corresponding to $g \in G$. Dedekind proved the following theorem about the irreducible factorization of the group determinant for any finite abelian group.

Theorem 1 (Dedekind [8])**.** *Let G be a finite abelian group. We have*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) x_g.$$

Frobenius gave a generalization of Dedekind's theorem. In particular, he proved the following theorem about the irreducible factorization of the group determinant for any finite group.

Theorem 2 (Frobenius [2])**.** *Let G be a finite group, for which we have the irreducible factorization,*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\varphi \in \widehat{G}} \det \left(\sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) x_g \right)^{\deg \varphi}.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}G$ be the group algebra of G over $\mathbb{C}$, $R = \mathbb{C}[x_g] = \mathbb{C}\left[x_g; g \in G\right]$ the polynomial ring in $\{x_g \mid g \in G\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$, $RG = R \otimes \mathbb{C}G = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} A_g g \mid A_g \in R \right\}$ the group algebra of G over R, H an abelian subgroup of G, and $[G : H]$ the index of H in G. The paper [10] gives the following extension and generalization of Dedekind's theorem that are different from the theorem by Frobenius.

Date: November 4, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C15; Secondary 15A15; 22D20.
Key words and phrases. Dedekind's theorem; group determinant; group algebra.

Theorem 3 (Extension of Dedekind's theorem [10])**.** *Let G be a finite abelian group, e the unit element of G, and H a subgroup of G. For every $h \in H$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $a_h \in R$ such that* $\deg a_h = [G : H]$ *and*

$$\Theta(G)e = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) a_h h.$$

If $H = G$, we can take $a_h = x_h$ for each $h \in H$.

Theorem 4 (Generalization of Dedekind's theorem [10])**.** *Let G be a finite abelian group and H a subgroup of G. For every $h \in H$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $a_h \in R$ such that* $\deg a_h = [G : H]$ *and*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) a_h.$$

If $H = G$, we can take $a_h = x_h$ for each $h \in H$.

Here, we give a further extension of Theorem 3 and generalization of Theorem 4.

1.1. Results. The following theorem is the further extension of Dedekind's theorem.

Theorem 5 (Further extension of Dedekind's theorem)**.** *Let G be a finite group, e the unit element of G, and H an abelian subgroup of G. For every $h \in H$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $a_h \in R$ such that* $\deg a_h = [G : H]$ *and*

$$\Theta(G)e = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) a_h h.$$

If H is normal and h is a conjugate of h' on G, then $a_h = a_{h'}$.

Note that the equality in Theorem 5 is an equality in RH. Theorem 5 is proven using an extension of the group determinant $\Theta(G : H)$. The group determinant $\Theta(G : H)$ is an element of RH, and it is defined by using a left regular representation of RH. The left regular representation is reviewed in Section 2. In addition, Section 2 gives two expressions for the regular representation and shows that composition of regular representations is a regular representation. These expressions are helpful for describing some of the properties of $\Theta(G : H)$.

Above, we said that the group determinant is defined by using a left regular representation. In more detail, we define a noncommutative determinant by using a left regular representation and define the group determinant by using the noncommutative determinant. We know that the noncommutative determinant is analogous to the Study determinant. The Study determinant is a quaternionic determinant, defined by using the regular representation ψ of the quaternions [1]. In Section 3 and 4, we describe the relationship between the noncommutative determinant and the Study determinant and their properties.

In the next section, we define the extension of the group determinant $\Theta(G : H)$ and give some properties of $\Theta(G : H)$.

In Section 6, we prove the further extension and generalization of Dedekind's theorem. In particular, Theorem 5 leads to the following theorem that is the further generalization of Dedekind's theorem.

Theorem 6 (Further generalization of Dedekind's theorem)**.** *Let G be a finite group and H an abelian subgroup of G. For every $h \in H$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $a_h \in R$ such that* $\deg a_h = [G : H]$ *and*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) a_h.$$

If H is normal and h is a conjugate of h' on G, then $a_h = a_{h'}$.

From Theorem 6, we have the following corollary on irreducible representations of finite groups.

Corollary 7. *Let G be a finite group and H an abelian subgroup of G. For all $\varphi \in \widehat{G}$, we have*

$$\deg \varphi \leq [G : H].$$

In the last section, we define a conjugation of the group algebra of the group which has an index two abelian subgroup. The conjugation comes from the non-commutative determinant. By applying the conjugation, we arrive at an inverse formula of 2×2 matrix.

2. Regular representation

Here, we describe the left regular representation of the group algebra and give two expressions for the representation. In addition, we show that a composition of regular representations is a regular representation.

Let R be a commutative ring, G a group, H a subgroup of G of finite index and RG the group algebra of G over R whose elements are all possible finite sums of the form $\sum_{g \in G} a_g g$, where $a_g \in R$. We take a complete set $T = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{[G:H]}\}$ of left coset representatives of H in G, where $[G : H]$ is the index of H in G.

Definition 8 (Left regular representation)**.** *For all $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$, there exists a unique $L_T(A) \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ such that*

$$A(t_1 I_m \; t_2 I_m \; \cdots \; t_{[G:H]} I_m) = (t_1 I_m \; t_2 I_m \; \cdots \; t_{[G:H]} I_m) L_T(A).$$

We call the map $L_T : \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG) \ni A \mapsto L_T(A) \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ the left regular representation from $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ with respect to T.

Obviously, L_T is an injective R-algebra homomorphism.

Example 9. *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$ and $H = \{0\}$ and $\alpha = x0 + y1 \in RG$. Then we have*

$$(x0 + y1)(0\ 1) = (0\ 1) \begin{bmatrix} x0 & y0 \\ y0 & x0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To give an expression for L_T, we define the map $\dot{\chi}$ by

$$\dot{\chi}(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & g \in H, \\ 0 & g \notin H \end{cases}$$

for all $g \in G$ and we denote (i, j) the $m \times m$ block element of an $(mn) \times (mn)$ matrix M by $M_{(i,j)}$. We can now prove the following theorem.

Lemma 10. *Let $L_T : \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG) \to \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ be the left regular representation with respect to T and $A = \sum_{t\in T} tA_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ where $A_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RH)$. Then we have*

$$L_T(A)_{(i,j)} = \sum_{t\in T} \dot{\chi}(t_i^{-1}tt_j)t_i^{-1}tA_tt_j.$$

Proof. Let $r = [G : H]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(t_1I_m\, t_2I_m\, \cdots\, t_rI_m)\left(\sum_{t\in T}\dot{\chi}\left(t_i^{-1}tt_j\right)t_i^{-1}tA_tt_j\right)_{1\le i\le r, 1\le j\le r}\\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{t\in T}\dot{\chi}(t_i^{-1}tt_1)tA_tt_1\ \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{t\in T}\dot{\chi}(t_i^{-1}tt_2)tA_tt_2\ \cdots\ \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{t\in T}\dot{\chi}(t_i^{-1}tt_m)tA_tt_r\right)\\
&= \left(\sum_{t\in T} tA_t\right)(t_1I_m\, t_2I_m\, \cdots\, t_rI_m).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

To get an another expression for L_T when H is a normal subgroup of G, we recall the Kronecker product. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1\le i\le m_1, 1\le j\le n_1}$ be an $m_1 \times n_1$ matrix and $B = (b_{ij})_{1\le i\le m_2, 1\le j\le n_2}$ be an $m_2 \times n_2$ matrix. The Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ is the $(m_1m_2) \times (n_1n_2)$ matrix,

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n_1}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n_1}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m_11}B & a_{m_12}B & \cdots & a_{m_1n_1}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $G = \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{|G|}\}$ is a finite group. Then the restriction of the left regular representation $L_G : G \to \mathrm{Mat}(|G|, R\{e\})$ with respect G is

$$L_G(g)_{ij} = \dot{\chi}(g_i^{-1}gg_j)e$$

from Lemma 10. We often assume that $R\{e\} = R$, that $e = 1 \in R$. So, we can see that L_G is a matrix form of the left regular representation of the group G.

Let

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} t_1I_m & & & \\ & t_2I_m & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & t_{[G:H]}I_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, we have the follwing lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let H be a normal subgroup of G, L_T the left regular representation from $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ with respect to T, $L_{G/H}$ the left regular representation from $R(G/H)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(|G/H|, R\{eH\})$ with respect to G/H and $A = \sum_{t\in T} tA_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ where $A_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RH)$. Accordingly, we have*

$$L_T(A) = P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(tH) \otimes tA_t\right)P.$$

Proof. From Lemma 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}\otimes tA_t\right)P\right)_{(i,j)} &= t_i^{-1}I_m\left(\sum_{t\in T}\left(L_{G/H}(tH)\right)_{ij}tA_t\right)t_jI_m\\ &= \sum_{t\in T}\dot{\chi}(t_i^{-1}tt_j)t_i^{-1}tA_tt_j\\ &= L_T(A)_{(i,j)}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We now show that a composition of regular representations is a regular representation. Theorem 30 requires the following lemma.

Lemma 12. *Let $K\subset H\subset G$ be a sequence of groups, $G=t_1H\cup t_2H\cup\cdots\cup t_{[G:H]}$, $H=u_1K\cup u_2K\cup\cdots\cup u_{[H:K]}$, $L_T:\mathrm{Mat}(m,RG)\to\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H],RH)$ the representation with respect to T and $L_U:\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H],RH)\to\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:K],RK)$ the representation with respect to U. Then there exists a unique representation L_V from $\mathrm{Mat}(m,RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:K],R\{e\})$ with respect to V such that*

$$L_V = L_U\circ L_T$$

where $V=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_{[G:K]}\}$ is a complete set of the left coset representatives of K in G

Proof. Let $A\in\mathrm{Mat}(m,RG), r=[G:H]$ and $s=[H:K]$. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A(t_1I_m\ t_2I_m\ \cdots\ t_rI_m) &= (t_1I_m\ t_2I_m\ \cdots\ t_rI_m)L_T(A),\\ L_T(A)(u_1I_{mr}\ u_2I_{mr}\ \cdots\ u_sI_{mr}) &= (u_1I_{mr}\ u_2I_{mr}\ \cdots\ u_sI_{mr})L_U(L_T(A)).\end{aligned}$$

Let $(a_{ij})_{1\le i\le r,1\le j\le r}=L_T(A)$ and $(b_{ij})_{1\le i\le s,1\le j\le s}=L_U(L_T(A))$ where $a_{ij}\in\mathrm{Mat}\,(m,RH)$ and $b_{ij}\in\mathrm{Mat}\,(mr,RH)$. Then we have

$$(a_{ij})_{1\le i\le r,1\le j\le r}u_pI_{mr}=\sum_{q=1}^{s}u_qb_{qp}.$$

We obtain

$$a_{ij}u_p=\sum_{q=1}^{s}u_q(b_{qp})_{ij}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(At_i)u_j &= \left(\sum_{p=1}^{r}t_pa_{pi}\right)u_j\\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{r}t_p(a_{pi}u_j)\\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{r}t_p\left(\sum_{q=1}^{s}u_q(b_{qj})_{pi}\right)\\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{r}\sum_{q=1}^{s}t_pu_q(b_{qj})_{pi}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, obviously $V = \{t_p u_q \mid 1 \leq p \leq r, 1 \leq q \leq s\}$ is obviously a complete set of left coset representatives of K in G. From $At_i u_j = \sum_{p=1}^{r} \sum_{q=1}^{s} t_p u_q (b_{qj})_{pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &A(t_1u_1I_m \ \cdots \ t_ru_1I_m \, t_1u_2I_m \ \cdots \ t_ru_2I_m \ \cdots \ t_ru_s) \\ &\quad = (t_1u_1I_m \ \cdots \ t_ru_1I_m \, t_1u_2I_m \ \cdots \ t_ru_2I_m \ \cdots \ t_ru_s)L_U(L_T(A)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

3. Characteristics of image of representation when quotient group is abelian

In the case of G/H is a finite abelian group, we have

$$L_T\left(\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)\right) = \left\{L_T(A) \mid A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)\right\}.$$

We define J_t by

$$J_t = P^{-1}\left(L_{G/H}(tH) \otimes I_m\right) P$$

for all $t \in T$. The following lemma will be used to show that $B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ is an image of L_T if and only if B commutes with J_t.

Lemma 13. *Let G/H be a finite abelian group and L_T be the left regular representation from $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ with respect to T. Then, the elements of $L_T(\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG))$ and J_t for all $t \in T$ are commutative.*

Proof. Suppose $A = \sum_{t\in T} tA_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ where $A_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RH)$. From Lemma 11, we have $L_T(A) = P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(tH) \otimes tA_t\right)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_T(A)J_{t'} &= P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(tH) \otimes tA_t\right) PP^{-1}\left(L(t'H) \otimes I_m\right) P \\ &= P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(tt'H) \otimes tA_t\right) P \\ &= P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(t'tH) \otimes tA_t\right) P \\ &= J_{t'}L_T(A) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t' \in T$. This completes the proof. □

Now we are in a position to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 14. *Let G/H be a finite abelian group and L_T the left regular representation from $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH)$ with respect to T. We have*

$$L_T(\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)) = \left\{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH) \mid J_tB = BJ_t, t \in T\right\}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 13, we have

$$L_T(\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)) \subset \left\{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH) \mid J_tB = BJ_t, t \in T\right\}.$$

We will show that

$$\left\{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G : H], RH) \mid J_tB = BJ_t, t \in T\right\} \subset L_T(\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)).$$

For all $B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$, there exists $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ and $B_{ij} \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RH)$ such that

$$B = L_T(A) + B'$$

where

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_{12} & B_{13} & \cdots & B_{1[G:H]} \\ 0 & B_{22} & B_{23} & \cdots & B_{2[G:H]} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & B_{[G:H]2} & B_{[G:H]3} & \cdots & B_{[G:H][G:H]} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From Lemma 13, we have $B'J_t = J_tB'$. For all $p \in \{2, 3, \ldots, [G:H]\}$, there exists $t \in T$ such that $J_{t_{(p,1)}} = t_pI_mt_1^{-1}$ and $J_{t_{(i,1)}} = 0$ for all $i \neq p$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{qp}t_pI_mt_1^{-1} &= (B'J_t)_{(q,1)} \\ &= (J_tB')_{(q,1)} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $q \in \{1, 2, \ldots, [G:H]\}$. Thus, we have $B = L_T(A) \in L_T(\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG))$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 14 is similar to a property of a left regular representation of quaternion. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the quaternions, $C + jD \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{H})$, where $C, D \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C})$, and $\overline{C}$ the complex conjugation matrix of C. Then we have $(C + jD)(I_m \quad jI_m) = (I_m \quad jI_m)\psi(C + jD)$, where

$$\psi(C + jD) = \begin{bmatrix} C & -\overline{D} \\ D & -\overline{C} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\psi : \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{H}) \ni C + jD \mapsto \psi(C + jD) \in \mathrm{Mat}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ is a left regular representation. The following is known for the image of ψ [1].

$$\psi(\mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{H})) = \left\{ B \in \mathrm{Mat}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \mid JB = \overline{B}J \right\}$$

where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I_m \\ I_m & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. Noncommutative determinant and some properties

In this section, we give a noncommutative determinant and describe its properties. This determinant is analogous to the Study determinant. Hence, we will define the determinant by using the regular representation of rings (group algebra).

Before defining the noncommutative determinant, we should remark that $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ is invertible. Let H be an abelian group.

Lemma 15 (Invertibility)**.** *For all $A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$, $AB = I_m$ if and only if $BA = I_m$.*

Proof. Let $AB = I_m$. We have $L_T(A)L_T(B) = I_{m[G:H]}$. The elements of $L_T(A)$ and the element of $L_T(B)$ are elements of a commutative ring. Hence, $L_T(B)L_T(A) = I_{m[G:H]}$. Therefore, $L_T(BA - I_m) = 0$. Since L_T is an injective, we have $BA = I_m$. □

Therefore, we do not have to distinguish between the left and right inverses.

The noncommutative determinant is as follows.

Definition 16. *Let H be an abelian subgroup of G and L be a left regular representation from* $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ *to* $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$*. We define the map* $\mathrm{Det} : \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG) \to RH$ *by*

$$\mathrm{Det} = \det \circ L.$$

Let $T' = \{t'_1, t'_2, \ldots, t'_m\}$ be an another complete set of left coset representatives of H in G. Then, there exists $Q \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RH)$ such that $L_T = Q^{-1} L_{T'} Q$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Det} &= \det \circ L_T \\ &= \det \circ L_{T'}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Det is an invariant under change of the left regular representation; hence, Det is well-defined.

Det has the following properties.

Theorem 17. *For all $A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$,*

(1) $\mathrm{Det}(AB) = \mathrm{Det}(A)\mathrm{Det}(B)$.
(2) *$A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ is invertible if and only if* $\mathrm{Det}(A) \in RH$ *is invertible.*

Proof. Det is a homomorphism, because L_T and det are homomorphisms. Therefore, the equation (1) holds. Now let us prove (2). If A is invertible, there exists $B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ such that $AB = I_m$. Hence, $L_T(A)L_T(B) = I_{m[G:H]}, L_T(A)$ is invertible. If $\mathrm{Det}(A)$ is invertible, there exists $B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$ such that $L_T(A)B = I_{m[G:H]}$. Therefore,

$$A(t_1 I_m \, t_2 I_m \, \cdots \, t_{[G:H]} I_m)B = (t_1 I_m \, t_2 I_m \, \cdots \, t_{[G:H]} I_m) I_{m[G:H]}.$$

Thus, A is invertible. □

Next let us define characteristic polynomial of $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, KG)$.

Definition 18 (Characteristic polynomial)**.** *Let H be an abelian group of G and L be a left regular representation from* $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ *to* $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$*. For all $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$, we define $\Phi_A(X)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A(X) &= \mathrm{Det}(XI_m - A) \\ &= \det(XI_{m[G:H]} - L_T(A)) \end{aligned}$$

where X is an independent variable such that $L_T(XB) = XL_T(B)$ and $\alpha X = X\alpha$ for any $B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ and $\alpha \in RH$.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 19. *Let H be a normal abelian subgroup of G and $\Phi_A(X)$ the characteristic polynomial of A over RH. Then we have $\Phi_{g^{-1}Ag}(X) = \Phi_A(X)$ for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. Since $f_g : G/H \ni t_i H \mapsto g t_i H \in G/H$ is a bijection for all $g \in G$, for all $g \in G$, there exists $P \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$ such that

$$g(t_1 I_m \, t_2 I_m \, \cdots \, t_{[G:H]} I_m) = (t_1 I_m \, t_2 I_m \, \cdots \, t_{[G:H]} I_m) P.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{g^{-1}Ag}(X) &= \det\left(XI_{m[G:H]} - L_T(g^{-1}Ag)\right) \\ &= \det\left(XI_{m[G:H]} - P^{-1}L_T(A)P\right) \\ &= \Phi_A(X). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we should remark that $P^{-1}L_T(A)P \in \mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$, since H is a normal subgroup of G. This completes the proof. □

We denote the center of the ring R by $Z(R)$. The following corollary will be used in the proof of Theorem 30.

Corollary 20. *Let H be a normal abelian subgroup of G and*

$$\Phi_A(X) = X^{m[G:H]} + a_{(m-1)[G:H]}X^{(m-1)[G:H]} + \cdots + a_0$$

the characteristic polynomial of A over RH. Then we have $a_i \in Z(RG) \cap RH$ for all $0 \leq i \leq (m-1)[G:H]$. In particular, $a_0 = \mathrm{Det}(A)$ and $a_{(m-1)[G:H]} = \mathrm{Tr}(L(A)) \in Z(RG) \cap RH$.

Next let us prove a Cayley-Hamilton type theorem for $\Phi_A(X)$.

Theorem 21 (Cayley-Hamilton type theorem)**.** *Let*

$$\Phi_A(X) = X^{m[G:H]} + a_{(m-1)[G:H]}X^{(m-1)[G:H]} + \cdots + a_0$$

be the characteristic polynomial of A over RH. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(A) &= A^{m[G:H]} + a_{(m-1)[G:H]}A^{(m-1)[G:H]} + \cdots + a_0 I_m \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for commutative rings,

$$L_T(A)^{m[G:H]} + a_{(m-1)[G:H]}L_T(A)^{(m-1)[G:H]} + \cdots + a_0 I_m = 0$$

and $A(t_1 I_m\, t_2 I_m\, \cdots\, t_{[G:H]} I_m) = (t_1 I_m\, t_2 I_m\, \cdots\, t_{[G:H]} I_m)L_T(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A(A)(t_1 I_m\, t_2 I_m\, \cdots\, t_{[G:H]} I_m) &= (t_1 I_m\, t_2 I_m\, \cdots\, t_{[G:H]} I_m)0 \\ &= (0 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\Phi_A(A) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

The noncommutative determinant Det is analogous to the Study determinant. Therefore, these determinant have similar properties.

The Study determinant Sdet is defined by $\det \circ \psi : \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{H}) \to \mathbb{C}$. The Study determinant has the following properties [1]. For all $A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{H})$,

(1) $\mathrm{Sdet}AB = \mathrm{Sdet}A\mathrm{Sdet}B$.
(2) $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{H})$ is invertible if and only if $\mathrm{Sdet}A \neq 0$.
(3) $\mathrm{Sdet}A \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $\mathrm{Sdet}A$ is a central element of $\mathbb{H}$.

That is, Theorem 17 and Corollary 20 are similar to above properties.

5. Extension of the group determinant in the group algebra for any abelian subgroup

Here, we extend the group determinant in the group algebra for any subgroup and show that the extension determines invertibility in $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$. First, let us recall the group determinant.

Let G be a finite group, $\{x_g \mid g \in G\}$ be independent commuting variables, and $R = \mathbb{C}[x_g] = \mathbb{C}[x_g; g \in G]$ the polynomial ring in $\{x_g \mid g \in G\}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$. The group determinant $\Theta(G) \in R$ is the determinant of a $|G| \times |G|$ matrix $(x_{g,h})_{g,h \in G}$, where $x_{g,h} = x_{gh^{-1}}$ for $g, h \in G$, and is thus a homogeneous polynomial of degree $|G|$ in x_g.

Now let us extend the group determinant in the group algebra for any abelian subgroup.

Definition 22 (Extension of the group determinant)**.** *Let G be a finite group, H an abelian subgroup of G, $\alpha = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in RG$ and $L : RG \to \mathrm{Mat}([G:H], RH)$ a left regular representation. We define*

$$\Theta(G:H) = (\det \circ L)(\alpha).$$

We call $\Theta(G:H)$ an extension of the group determinant in the group algebra RH.

If $H = \{e\}$, we know that $\Theta(G:H) = \Theta(G)e$. Thus, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 23. *Let G be a finite group, $\Theta(G)$ the group determinant of G, $\alpha = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in RG$, and $L : RG \to \mathrm{Mat}(|G|, R\{e\})$ a left regular representation. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(G:\{e\}) &= (\det \circ L)(\alpha)\\ &= \Theta(G)e.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let L_G be the left regular representation from RG to $\mathrm{Mat}(|G|, R\{e\})$ with respect to G. From Lemma 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}L_G\left(\sum_{g\in G} x_g g\right)_{ij} &= \sum_{g\in G} \dot{\chi}(g_i^{-1} g g_j) x_g g_i^{-1} g g_j\\ &= \begin{cases} x_g e & g_i^{-1} g g_j = e,\\ 0 & g_i^{-1} g g_j \neq e.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$L_T(\alpha) = \left(x_{g_i g_j^{-1} e}\right)_{1\le i\le |G|, 1\le j\le |G|}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Let us explain how the extension of the group determinant determines invertibility. Now the situation is that x_g is an element of R for any $g \in G$. Hence, we assume that $\sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in RG$ and $\Theta(G) = \det(x_{gh^{-1}})_{g,h\in G} \in R$. Accordingly, we get the following theorem from Theorem 17.

Theorem 24. *Let $\alpha = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in RG$. Then α is invertible if and only if $\Theta(G:H)$ is invertible.*

Obviously, $\Theta(G:\{e\}) = \Theta(G)e$ is invertible if and only if $\Theta(G) \neq 0$. Therefore, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 25. *Let $\alpha = \sum_{g\in G} x_g g \in RG$. Then α is invertible if and only if $\Theta(G) \neq 0$.*

6. Factorizations of the group determinant in the group algebra for any abelian subgroup

In this section, we give factorizations of the group determinant in the group algebra of abelian subgroups. The factorizations compose a further extension of Dedekind's theorem upon the one presented in [10]. This extension in turn leads to

a further generalization of Dedekind's theorem. Moreover, the generalization leads to a corollary on irreducible representations of finite groups.

First, we give a number of lemmas that will be needed later. The following theorem is well known.

Theorem 26. *Let G be a finite group, $\widehat{G} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_s\}$ a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of G, $d_i = \deg \varphi_i$, and L_G the left regular representation of G. We have*

$$L_G \sim d_1\varphi_1 \oplus d_2\varphi_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus d_s\varphi_s.$$

Let $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, R)$. We define $F_\chi^{(m)} : \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG) \to \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ by

$$F_\chi\left(\left(\sum_{g\in G} x_{ij}(g)g\right)_{1\le i\le m, 1\le j\le m}\right) = \left(\sum_{g\in G} \chi(g)x_{ij}(g)g\right)_{1\le i\le m, 1\le j\le m}$$

where $x_{ij}(g) \in R$. Now we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 27. *Let G be an abelian group, $\chi \in \mathrm{Hom}(G, R)$ and $A = \sum_{g\in G} A_g g \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$, where $A_g \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, R)$. If $\det A = \sum_{g\in G} a_g g$, where $a_g \in R$, we have*

$$\det\left(\sum_{g\in G} \chi(g)A_g g\right) = \sum_{g\in G} \chi(g)a_g g.$$

Hence, we have

$$\det \circ F_\chi^{(m)} = F_\chi^{(1)} \circ \det.$$

Proof. Let $A = \left(\sum_{g\in G} a_{ij}(g)g\right)_{1\le i\le m, 1\le j\le m}$, where $a_{ij}(g) \in R$. Then we have

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma\in S_m} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{g\in G} a_{\sigma(i)i}(g)g\right)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{g\in G} \chi(g)a_g g &= F_\chi^{(1)}(\det A)\\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in S_m} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{g\in G} a_{\sigma(i)i}(g)\chi(g)g\right)\\ &= \det\left(a_{ij}(g)\chi(g)g\right)\\ &= \det F_\chi^{(m)}(A).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 28. *Let G be an abelian group, H a normal subgroup of G, L a left regular representation from $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$, and $A = \sum_{t\in T} tA_t$ where $A_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, RH)$. We have*

$$(\det \circ L)\left(\sum_{t\in T} tA_t\right) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} \det\left(\sum_{t\in T} \chi(tH)tA_t\right).$$

Proof. From Lemma 11 and Theorem 26,

$$\begin{aligned}(\det \circ L)\left(\sum_{t\in T} tA_t\right) &= \det\left(P^{-1}\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(tH)\otimes tA_t\right)P\right)\\ &= \det\left(\sum_{t\in T} L_{G/H}(tH)\otimes tA_t\right)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} \det\left(\sum_{t\in T}\chi(tH)\otimes tA_t\right)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} \det\left(\sum_{t\in T}\chi(tH)tA_t\right).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 29. *Let G be an abelian group, H a subgroup of G, L_1 a left regular representation from $\mathrm{Mat}(m, RG)$ to $\mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH)$, and L_2 a left regular representation from RG to $\mathrm{Mat}([G:H], RH)$. Then the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & RG & \\ {\scriptstyle\det}\nearrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle L_2} \\ \mathrm{Mat}(m, RG) & & \mathrm{Mat}([G:H], RH) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle L_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\det} \\ \mathrm{Mat}(m[G:H], RH) & \xrightarrow{\det} & RH \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $A = \sum_{t\in T} tA_t$ and $\det A = \sum_{t\in T} ta_t$, where $A_t \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, R)$ and $a_t \in R$. From Lemma 28, we have

$$(\det \circ L_1)(A) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} \det\left(\sum_{t\in T}\chi(tH)tA_t\right).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\det \circ L_2 \circ \det)(A) &= (\det \circ L_2)\left(\sum_{t\in T} ta_t\right)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}}\left(\sum_{t\in T}\chi(tH)ta_t\right).\end{aligned}$$

We regard $\chi : G/H \to R$ as $\chi : G \ni g \mapsto \chi(gH) \in R$. Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} \det\left(\sum_{t\in T}\chi(tH)tA_t\right) &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} (\det \circ F_\chi^{(m)})(A)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} (F_\chi^{(1)} \circ \det)(A)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} F_\chi^{(1)}\left(\sum_{t\in T} ta_t\right)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{G/H}} \sum_{t\in T}\chi(tH)ta_t\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 27. This completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to state and prove the further extension of Dedekind's theorem.

Theorem 30 (Further extension of Dedekind's theorem)**.** *Let G be a finite group and H be an abelian subgroup of G. For every $h \in H$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $a_h \in R$ such that* $\deg a_h = [G : H]$ *and*

$$\Theta(G)e = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{H}} \sum_{h\in H} \chi(h)a_h h.$$

If H is normal and h is a conjugate of h' on G, then $a_h = a_{h'}$.

Proof. From Lemma 12 and 23, we have

$$(\det \circ L_2 \circ L_1)\left(\sum_{g\in G} x_g g\right) = \Theta(G)e.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\det \circ L_3 \circ \det \circ L_1)\left(\sum_{g\in G} x_g g\right) &= (\det \circ L_3)\left(\sum_{h\in H} a_h h\right)\\ &= \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{H}}\left(\sum_{h\in H}\chi(h)a_h h\right)\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 28. From Lemma 29, we can build the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} RG & & & & RH \\ & \searrow^{L_1} & & \nearrow^{\det} & & \searrow^{L_3} & \\ & & \mathrm{Mat}([G:H], RH) & & & & \mathrm{Mat}(|H|, R\{e\}) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \det} \\ & & \mathrm{Mat}(|G|, R\{e\}) & & \xrightarrow{\det} & & R\{e\} \end{array}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Theta(G)e = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{H}} \sum_{h\in H} \chi(h)a_h h.$$

If H is a normal subgroup of G. From Corollary 20, we have

$$(\det \circ L_1)\left(\sum_{g\in G} x_g g\right) = \sum_{h\in H} a_h h \in Z(RG).$$

Hence, $a_h = a_{h'}$ when h is a conjugate h' on G. This completes the proof. □

Now we are in a position to state and prove the further generalization of Dedekind's theorem. Let $F : RG \to R$ be the R-algebra homomorphism such that $F(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$. We call the map F the fundamental RG-function. The proof is as follows.

Theorem 31 (Further generalization of Dedekind's theorem)**.** *Let G be a finite group and H an abelian subgroup of G. For every $h \in H$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial $a_h \in R$ such that* $\deg a_h = [G : H]$ *and*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{H}} \sum_{h\in H} \chi(h) a_h.$$

If H is normal and h is a conjugate of h' on G, then $a_h = a_{h'}$.

Proof. From Theorem 30 and the fundamental RG-function, we have

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi\in\widehat{H}} \sum_{h\in H} \chi(h) a_h.$$

This completes the proof. □

From Theorem 2 and 31, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 32. *Let G be a finite group and H an abelian subgroup of G. For all $\varphi \in \widehat{G}$, we have*

$$\deg \varphi \le [G : H].$$

Remark that Corollary 32 follows from Frobenius reciprocity[6].

7. Conjugate of the group algebra of the groups which have an index two abelian subgroup

In this section, we define a conjugation of elements of the group algebra of the group which has an index-two abelian subgroup.

First, we recall the conjugation of elements of $\mathbb{H}$. Let $z = x + jy \in \mathbb{H}$. The conjugation of z defined as $\overline{z} = x - jy$. The conjugation has the following properties.

(1) $\mathrm{Sdet} z = z\overline{z}$.
(2) $\overline{\overline{z}} = z$.
(3) $z + \overline{z}$ and $z\overline{z} = \overline{z}z \in \mathbb{R} = Z(\mathbb{H})$.
(4) $\overline{zw} = \overline{w}\,\overline{z} \quad (w \in \mathbb{H})$.
(5) $z = \overline{z}$ if and only if $z \in \mathbb{H}$.

We refer to the above for definition of the conjugation of elements of the group algebra.

Let R be a commutative ring, G a group, H an index-two abelian subgroup of G, $T = \{e, t\}$ a complete set of left coset representatives of H in G, L_T the left regular

representation from RG to $\mathrm{Mat}(2, RH)$ with respect to T, and $A = \alpha + t\beta \in RG$. We have

$$L_T(A) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & t\beta t \\ \beta & t^{-1}\alpha t \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A(X) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} X - \alpha & t\beta t \\ \beta & X - t^{-1}\alpha t \end{bmatrix} \\ &= X^2 - (\alpha + t^{-1}\alpha t)X + \alpha t^{-1}\alpha t - \beta t\beta t. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &(X - (\alpha + t\beta))(X - (t^{-1}\alpha t - t\beta)) \\ &= X^2 - (t^{-1}\alpha t - t\beta)X - (\alpha + t\beta)X + (\alpha + t\beta)(t^{-1}\alpha t - t\beta) \\ &= X^2 - (\alpha + t^{-1}\alpha t)X + \alpha t^{-1}\alpha t - \alpha t\beta + (t\beta t^{-1})\alpha t - (t\beta t)\beta \\ &= X^2 - (\alpha + t^{-1}\alpha t)X + \alpha t^{-1}\alpha t - \alpha t\beta + \alpha(t\beta t^{-1})t - \beta(t\beta t) \\ &= X^2 - (\alpha + t^{-1}\alpha t)X + \alpha t^{-1}\alpha t - \beta t\beta t \\ &= \Phi_A(X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we define the conjugate of $A = \alpha + t\beta$ by

$$\overline{A} = t^{-1}\alpha t - t\beta.$$

The following theorem follows from Corollary 20 and a direct calculation:

Theorem 33. *For all $A, B \in RG$,*

(1) $\overline{\overline{A}} = A$.
(2) $A + \overline{A}$ *and* $A\overline{A} = \overline{A}A \in Z(RG)$.
(3) $\overline{AB} = \overline{B}\,\overline{A}$.
(4) $A = \overline{A}$ *if and only if* $A \in Z(RG)$.

We give the inverse formula of 2 matrix by conjugation.

Theorem 34. *Let $A, B, C, D \in RG$. Then we have*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{D} & \overline{B} \\ \overline{C} & \overline{D} \end{bmatrix} (\alpha\overline{\alpha} - \beta\gamma)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\alpha} & -\beta \\ -\gamma & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \overline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A\overline{D} + B\overline{C} & A\overline{B} + B\overline{A} \\ C\overline{D} + D\overline{C} & D\overline{A} + C\overline{B} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \overline{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{D} & \overline{B} \\ \overline{C} & \overline{D} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From $\beta, \gamma \in Z(RG)$, these elements $\alpha, \overline{\alpha}, \beta, \gamma$ are interchangeable. Therefore, we can use the inverse formula for 2×2 matrix whose elements are in commutative ring. This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments I am deeply grateful to Prof. Hiroyuki Ochiai who provided the helpful comments and suggestions. Also, I would like to thank my colleagues in the Graduate School of Mathematics of Kyushu University, in particular Tomoyuki Tamura and Yuka Suzuki for comments and suggestions. I would also like to express my gratitude to my family for their moral support and warm encouragements. This work was supported by a grant from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15J06842).

REFERENCES

[1] ASLAKSEN, Helmer. Quaternionic determinants. *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 1996, 18.3: 57–65.
[2] CONRAD, Keith. On the origin of representation theory. *ENSEIGNEMENT MATHEMATIQUE*, 1998, 44: 361–392.
[3] FORMANEK, Edward; SIBLEY, David. The group determinant determines the group. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 1991, 112.3: 649–656.
[4] ISAACS, I. Martin. *Character theory of finite groups*. Courier Corporation, 2013.
[5] JOHNSON, Kenneth W. On the group determinant. In: *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. Cambridge University Press, 1991, 109.02: 299–311.
[6] KONDO, Takeshi. *Group theory*, Iwanami Shoten, 2002 (in japanese).
[7] STEINBERG, Benjamin. *Representation theory of finite groups: an introductory approach*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
[8] VAN DER WAERDEN, Bartel L. *A history of algebra: from al-Khwārizmī to Emmy Noether*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
[9] YAMAGUCHI, Naoya. Factorization of group determinant in some group algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.1900*, 2014.
[10] YAMAGUCHI, Naoya. An extension and a generalization of Dedekind's theorem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.03170*, 2016.
[11] YAMAGUCHI, Naoya. Proof of some properties of transfer using noncommutative determinants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1602.08667*, 2016.

Naoya Yamaguchi
Graduate School of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395
Japan
n-yamaguchi@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp